

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	171	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/679,706	10/03/2003		William B. Duff JR.	DUFF:002CON	9608
25784	7590	07/13/2004	EXAMINER		INER
MICHAEL	O. SCHI	EINBERG	TSO, EDWARD H		
P.O. BOX 164140 AUSTIN, TX 78716-4140			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2838	

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>V</i>	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Antique Occurrence	10/679,706	DUFF, WILLIAM B.	
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Edward Tso	2838	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		mely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status .			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	— is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and the subject to restriction and subject to restric	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	• •		
Applicant may not request that any objection to th			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)	
P) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal R		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The IDS filed 6/1/2004 has been considered and placed of record. An initialed copy is attached herewith.

Specification

The disclosure should be carefully reviewed to ensure that any and all grammatical, idiomatic, and spelling or other minor errors are corrected. For example, the status of all related applications should be updated.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-20 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,633,154. This is a double patenting rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,706

Art Unit: 2838

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner at the below-listed number.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571 272 2800, Monday-Friday, 830am to 5:00pm, EST.

By:

EDWARD TSO Primary Examiner 571 272 2087 Page 3